Case 1:04-cv-00414-SLR Document 50-6 Filed 05/23/2005 Page 1 of 11

TAB 5

```
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
            IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3
    WILLIE DAVIS, JR.,
                                         )CA No 04-0414-KAJ
    NATHANIEL BRIDDELL,
    GEORGE W. FEDDIMAN,
    JOSEPH GARRISON,
5
    LARRY E. GIBBS,
   ROY H. WALTERS,
   ALL SIMILARLY-SITUATED CURRENT AND
   FORMER EMMPOYEES OF MOUNTAIRE
    FARMS, INC., MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF
 8
    DELMARVA, INC., and MOUNTAIRE FARMS
    OF DELAWARE, INC.,
              Plaintiffs.
10
              ν.
11
    MOUNTAIRE FARMS, INC.,
12
    MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF DELMARVA, INC.,
    and MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF
13
    DELAWARE, INC., all Delaware
    corporations,
14
              Defendants.
15
16
17
               Deposition of NATHANIEL BRIDDELL, taken
    pursuant to notice, on Thursday, January 27, 2005 at
18
    10:00 a.m. at Young, Conaway, Stargatt & Taylor,
19
20
    Georgetown, Delaware, reported by Lorena J. Hartnett,
21
    a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public.
22
                      .. .. .. .. .. ..
23
```

LORENA J. HARTNETT, R.P.R. (302) 426-1007 or 736-3661

24

46 1 sure they were trained properly? 2 Α. Yes. 3 Did you ever select any of these 4 catchers to come onto your crew? 5 A. Yes. 6 Okay, who did you select? 7 A. Zarina Bagwell. 8 Okay. Now, I understand the period of time I am asking was a long time, so --9 10 Α. Yes. 11 -- I wouldn't expect you to have 12 perfect recall. Anybody else that you can think 13 of? 1.4 Can you ask me that question again? Α. 15 Sure, from September of '89, again 16 this is the timeframe, until April of '03, my 17 question is did you select any employees to 18 become part of your crew? 19 You have mentioned Mr. Bagwell, and I

20

21

22

23

24

remember?

Α.

Q.

LORENA J. HARTNETT, R.P.R. (302) 426-1007 or 736-3661

Leroy Taylor. Lawn Howell.

guess my question is anybody else you can

Yes, Warren Purnell.

Okay.

| 1 . | Q. Is that Howell? | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 2 | A. Howell, H-O-W-E-L-L. | | |
| 3 | Q. Okay, thank you. | | |
| 4 | A. That's about it, as far as I can | | |
| 5 | remember. | | |
| 6 | Q. Okay. There may have been more, but | | |
| 7 | you just can't remember? | | |
| 8 | A. I am sure. | | |
| 9 | Q. Okay, that's fine. Let me ask you | | |
| 10 | this: This is a document. I don't have You | | |
| 11 | have copies of these. | | |
| 12 | This is a document, sir, that has been | | |
| 13 | introduced as an exhibit to Mr. Garrison's | | |
| 14 | deposition. It's Garrison Exhibit 2. Okay, do | | |
| 15 | you see that? I am just going to keep that in | | |
| 16 | front of you so I can ask you a couple questions | | |
| 17 | about this document. | | |
| 18 | Okay, it talks about, in roman numeral | | |
| 19 | two on page one, the crew leader's general | | |
| 20 | duties, and I am just going to go through some of | | |
| 21 | these. | | |
| 22 | MR. MARTIN: Excuse me for a moment. | | |
| 23 | Did you ask whether he was familiar with it, | | |
| 24 | the document? | | |
| | IORENA T HARMETT D D | | |

| 1 BY MR. BREWER: | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Q. Okay, let's | s go. Mr. Briddell, let me |
| 3 ask you this question | , if I may: Could you |
| 4 recommend the promoti | on of one of the catchers to |
| 5 be a forklift operato | or? |
| 6 A. No. | |
| 7 Q. You couldn | n't do that? |
| 8 A. Could I? | Oh, let me rephrase it. You |
| 9 said could I recommen | nd? |
| 10 Q. Yes. | |
| 11 A. Yes, I con | uld ask Doug about it, yes. |
| · — | lked earlier about your |
| · | rimands to people for various |
| 14 reasons. Did you ev | er give anybody anything more |
| 15 than an oral reprima | ind? |
| 16 A. Yes. | |
| Q. Okay, do | you remember who, by any |
| 18 chance? | |
| 19 A. Charles F | |
| Q. Okay. Do | you remember when that was? |
| . 21 A. No. | |
| | nybody else you can think of? |
| · | Again, I understand I am |
| 24 asking you to go bac | ck in time. There may have |

(302) 426-1007 or 736-3661

| (| 1 | continues to follow a corporate policy and/or |
|-----------------|-----|--|
| | 2 | practice that requires/required plaintiffs to |
| | 3 | submit a daily time sheet broken down for each |
| | 4 | day of the week. * |
| | 5 | Were you required to keep a time sheet |
| | 6 | of your time broken down for each day of the |
| | 7 | week? |
| | 8 | A. At a point in time, yes, that I had to |
| | 9 | turn in a time sheet ever day of each catcher. |
| | 10 | Q. Each catcher? |
| | 11 | A. Yes. |
| | 12 | Q. But it was for the catchers you were |
| ` ' | 13 | keeping a time for? |
| | 14 | A. Yes. |
| | 15 | Q. You were not keeping a time for |
| | 16 | yourself? |
| | 17 | A. No. |
| | 18 | Q. Okay, and you have never kept time for |
| | 19 | yourself as a crew leader? |
| | 20 | A. No. |
| | 21 | Q. Go to the next paragraph, which is |
| | 22 | Paragraph 26. You can take a moment and read |
| | .23 | that, if you want. |
| | 24 | Okay, this basically says that the |

defendant, which is the company, follows a 1 2 practice of partial-day deductions, and it says in that, "Any partial time taken off in working 3 hours by the plaintiffs, " which is you, "was 4 5 deducted from their pay. * Were any deductions made from your pay 6 7 when you took less than one full day off? 8 Α. That depends. Now, if I took off when 9 I got paid by the thousand --Uh-huh. 10 Q. -- and I got somebody in my place, the 11 company did not pay. I paid it out of my pocket. 12 13 ο. Correct. I am talking about -- Let's 14 talk about the times from June of '02 when you 15 became salary. Okay? In June of '02? 16 Α. In June of '02 when you first became 17 salaried until April of '03 when you stopped 18 being a crew leader? 19 20 Α. No. There were no partial-day deductions 21 Q. 22 taken from your pay? No. 23 Α. Okay. Go to the next paragraph, 24 Q.

Paragraph 27. You can take a moment, sir, and 1 read that. I just have a couple questions about 2 3 that for you. 4 Okay, what this paragraph basically 5 says is that the defendants have a policy, 6 defendants being the company, have a policy of 7 again the partial-day deductions, which you said 8 did not happen when you were salaried, whereby 9 your pay was reduced because of violations in the quantity of the work performed or directly 10 11 received a reduction in the amount of compensation in the event they chose to charge 12 time off from normal hours. 13 14 Was your compensation reduced because 15 of violations of the quantity of work performed 16 at all? 17 Α. No. 18 When you were salaried, there 19 basically weren't any deductions taken from your 20 work or anything else, were there? 21 Α. No. 22 Take a look, sir, at that same

LORENA J. HARTNETT, R.P.R. (302) 426-1007 or 736-3661

document and turn to Paragraph 32, please.

me know when you are finished.

23

24

1 Paragraph 34. This says, "When the defendant," 2 which is the company, "learned of plaintiff's 3 intention to seek counsel, defendant immediately 4 retaliated against plaintiffs, threatened them 5 with termination of employment if they continued 6 to pursue these issues.* 7 Did anybody threaten you with termination? 8 9 No. A. 10 Q. Did anybody retaliate against you, sir? 11 12 No. Α. 13 Okay. And I think I asked you, and 14 you said no one threatened you with termination 15 from employment? 16 Α. No. 17 Let's see. Go to the next paragraph, 18 which I would like to read, Paragraph 36. And 19 this says, "Many of the plaintiffs have been 20 cornered by various management personnel and 21 questioned individually regarding their 22 discussions with counsel and the nature of their 23 action. "

LORENA J. HARTNETT, R.P.R. (302) 426-1007 or 736-3661

Were you cornered by any member of

24

| | 1 | management about this lawsuit? |
|--------------|----|--|
| | 2 | A. Cornered me and questioned me? |
| | 3 | Q. Cornered? Well, this is what it says. |
| | 4 | It says, "Many of the plaintiffs have been |
| | 5 | cornered." |
| | 6 | A. No, I don't remember being cornered. |
| | 7 | Q. By anybody from management? |
| | 8 | A. No. |
| | 9 | Q. It also says they questioned many of |
| | 10 | the plaintiffs, and it may not be you, "Many of |
| | 11 | the plaintiffs have been questioned individually |
| \leftarrow | 12 | regarding their discussions with counsel.* |
| | 13 | Have you been questioned by any member |
| | 14 | of management regarding your discussions with |
| | 15 | Mr. Martin? |
| | 16 | A. Yes. |
| | 17 | Q. Who? |
| | 18 | A. David Nuse said, "I heard your name |
| | 19 | was on the lawsuit." |
| | 20 | Q. Uh-huh. Okay. |
| | 21 | A. "And you won't receive anything." |
| | 22 | That's all I got on that. |
| | 23 | Q. David Nuse said, "I heard your name |
| | 24 | was on the lawsuit." |

| | (| 1 | owned and operated by the defendant's upper |
|------------|---|----|--|
| | | 2 | management personnel circling the parking lot of |
| | | 3 | the diner at which they were assembled for a |
| | | 4 | meeting. |
| | | 5 | Can you tell me anything about that? |
| / Colle to | | 6 | A. Yes, I do remember seeing that company |
| es. | | 7 | vehicle. |
| | | 8 | Q. Which vehicle did you see? |
| | | 9 | A. I seen a tan Crown Victoria. |
| u | | 10 | Q. And whose car was that? |
| | | 11 | A. I don't remember. I can't recall. I |
| | 4 | 12 | did not see that person. |
| H | | 13 | Q. You did not see the person? |
| 1) | | 14 | A. No. |
| | | 15 | Q. But you are sure it was a company car? |
| | | 16 | A. I know it was. |
| | | 17 | Q. And what occurred? The car, it said, |
| | | 18 | circled? |
| 1 2 | • | 19 | A. Just circled around the parking lot |
| | | 20 | real slow and drove on off. |
| | • | 21 | Q. So the car came into the parking lot? |
| | | 22 | A. Yes, it did. |
| | | 23 | Q. Circled around slowly and drove off? |
| | | 24 | A. Yes. |
| | | | LORENA J. HARTNETT, R.P.R. |